



# Human Beatboxing : A preliminary study on temporal reduction.

Alexis Dehais Underdown<sup>1</sup>, Paul Vignes<sup>1</sup>, Lise Crevier Buchman<sup>1,2</sup>, Didier Demolin<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Phonétique et Phonologie, UMR 7018 CNRS-Sorbonne Nouvelle, <sup>2</sup>Hôpital Foch, Univ. VSQ

**Methods** 

### INTRODUCTION

 $\rightarrow$  Human Beatboxing (HBB) is imitation of musical the sonorities with the vocal tract. relies on  $\rightarrow$  HBB different articulatory skills compared to speech because it does not obey to linguistic constraints.  $\rightarrow$  In the present study we are presenting an experiment based on a speeding up task.  $\rightarrow$  Speech rate is known to be a factor of reduction affecting supralaryngeal gestures (Byrd & Tan 1996, Lindblom 1963, Ostry & Munhall 1985) and laryngeal gestures (Munhall & Löfqvist 1992) depending on prosodic the structure (Fougeron & Keating 1997).  $\rightarrow$  Duration is a major cue of reduction

- $\rightarrow$ 1 Professional beatboxer
- →Recordings in an Anechoic chamber with a cardioid
   AKG C520 microphone (samp. Freq. = 44kHz)
   →12 Beatboxed patterns (BP) :
  - Each positions in the patterns were annotated from 1 to 9
- →Corpus : Metrical Structure

# **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

How does beatboxing rate affect sound duration and what is the beatboxer's strategy to speed up

- ➤ 5 repetitions of each BP at 3 speeds (90, 120, 150 Beat Per Minute) → 12 BP x 5 repetitions x 3 speeds = 180 BP
- $\rightarrow$  Analysis of temporal reduction:
  - Sound duration (ms)
  - Acoustic phase duration (ms)
  - Silence between sounds (ms)
  - Pattern duration (ms)



#### **Results**



## HYPOTHESIS

We expect that :
(1) the faster the production, the shorter sound duration
(2) affricates, trills and fricatives will shorten more than stops
(3) position in the beatboxed pattern influences sound reduction.

#### REFERENCES

Boersma, P. & Weenink, D. (2006).
Praat: doing phonetics by computer.
Version 6.0.21, recupéré le 25
Septembre 2016 sur
http://www.praat.org/.
Byrd, D., & Tan, C. C. (1996). Saying consonant clusters quickly. *Journal of Phonetics, 24(2), 263-282.*Fougeron, C., & Keating, P. A. (1997).
Articulatory strengthening at edges of prosodic domains. *The journal of the*

*acoustical society of America, 101(6),* 3728-3740.

Lindblom, B. (1963). Spectroraphic Study of Vowel Reduction. *Journal of the acoustical society of America*, 1773-1781.

Munhall, K., & Löfqvist, A. (1992). Gestural aggregation in speech: Laryngeal gestures. *Journal of Phonetics, 20(1)*, 111-126. Ostry, D. J., & Munhall, K. G. (1985). Control of rate and duration of speech movements. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 77(2)*, 640-648. > 97 tokens that shows sound and 9  $\succ$  Stops & fricative reduction  $\rightarrow$  less changes (e.g.  $[\uparrow I] \rightarrow [I]; [\downarrow p] \rightarrow [\downarrow B^I])$  $\succ$  Position 4 = final position of the 1<sup>st</sup> reduction but they will not be analyzed here half However some sound do not we removed all tokens that did not  $\blacktriangleright$  Positions 9 = final position reduce depending on the position match the targeted sound.  $\succ$  Position 8 = anticipatory effect of  $\rightarrow$  Silences reduce most the final position ? > gestures are getting closer

### Conclusion

- → Global reduction of pattern duration when speed increases
- $\rightarrow$  Sound reduction + silence reduction when speed increases
- $\succ$  Silence reduction = gestures are closer  $\rightarrow$  risks of articulatory overlap but few beatboxing errors
- Strategy = temporal reduction of gestures + same intergestural interval

#### → More participants needed

Poster Template Designed by Genigraphics ©2012 1.800.790.4001 www.genigraphics.com